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The Environmental Code of Practice for Base Metals Smelters and Refineries describes operational activities and associated environmental concerns of this industrial sector. Recommendations for the environmental performance of these facilities are presented to mitigate these concerns. The recommended practices in the Code include the development and implementation of environmental management systems and the prevention and control of atmospheric emissions, wastewater effluents, and wastes. These recommended practices may be used as requirements for new facilities and as goals for continual improvements for existing facilities.

RÉSUMÉ

Le Code de pratiques écologiques pour les fonderies et affineries de métaux communs décrit les activités opérationnelles de ce secteur industriel et les préoccupations environnementales qu’elles soulèvent. En répondre à ces préoccupations, on présente des recommandations relatives à la performance environnementale pour ces établissements. Les pratiques recommandées dans le Code sont notamment l’élaboration et la mise en œuvre de systèmes de gestion de l’environnement, ainsi que la prévention et la réduction des émissions atmosphériques, des effluents d’eaux usées et des déchets. On propose aux nouveaux établissements d’utiliser ces pratiques recommandées à titre d’exigences, et aux établissements existants, de les utiliser à titre d’objectifs d’amélioration permanente.
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SUMMARY

S.1 CONTEXT
Various environmental initiatives and legislation provide context for the development of an Environmental Code of Practice for the base metals smelting and refining sector.

Under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA 1999), there are provisions for developing regulations, objectives, guidelines, and codes of practice and for requiring pollution prevention plans. The Fisheries Act includes provisions for developing regulations to manage the release of deleterious substances to Canadian fishery waters.

The Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment sets nationwide standards for various toxic substances and also agreed on the development of comprehensive Multi-pollutant Emission Reduction Strategies for various industrial sectors as a means for achieving the standards.

Canada is committed to reducing emissions under international agreements such as the 2000 Ozone Annex under the 1991 Canada–United States Air Quality Agreement and the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution.

Base metals smelters and refineries release various substances found on the List of Toxic Substances in Schedule 1 to CEPA 1999. A multistakeholder consultation, called the Strategic Options Process, was conducted in 1996–1997 and resulted in several recommendations for the management of toxic substances from the sector. These recommendations included the development of environmental performance standards.

These provisions are taken into account in the development of this Environmental Code of Practice for Base Metals Smelters and Refineries.

S.2 CODE OBJECTIVES
The overall objectives of the Environmental Code of Practice are to identify and promote recommended practices as requirements for new facilities and as goals for continual improvements for existing facilities.

S.3 CODE SCOPE, DEVELOPMENT, AND IMPLEMENTATION
The Environmental Code of Practice for Base Metals Smelters and Refineries includes descriptions of processes used in the sector and associated environmental concerns, as well as recommended environmental performance standards for mitigating these concerns.

The recommended practices in the Code include guidance for environmental management systems and guidelines for environmental releases to air, water, and land, based on best available techniques for pollution prevention and control.

The Code has been developed by Environment Canada in consultation with a Base metals Environmental Multistakeholder Advisory Group.

Potential options for the implementation of the Code include:

• voluntary adoption by a corporation and/or a facility;
• use as performance standards for environmental audits;
• use as a benchmark for public corporate commitments and performance reporting;
• inclusion as a commitment in an environmental performance agreement between a corporation and/or a facility and environmental protection agencies;
• inclusion of some or all of the Code recommendations as requirements by financial lending institutions and/or insurance companies or underwriters; and
use of some of the Code recommendations as the basis for provincial/territorial regulations or permits or federal regulations.

S.4 SMELTER AND REFINERY OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS

In smelters and refineries, ores and concentrates are supplied from mines and mills, and recycled material is supplied for further recovery and purification of metals. This Code of Practice applies to both smelters and refineries of primary copper, primary and secondary lead, primary zinc, primary nickel, and primary cobalt.

Operations in this sector can include:

- pre-treatment of the ores, concentrates and recycled material;
- roasting;
- smelting;
- converting;
- fire refining;
- electrorefining;
- carbonyl refining;
- leaching;
- electrowinning;
- casting; and
- process off-gas conditioning.

Pollutant releases of concern associated with these operations include:

- process air emissions from stacks;
- process air emissions from unenclosed process equipment and buildings;
- fugitive air emissions from outdoor storage piles and during transfers of materials;
- releases of pollutants accidentally or in environmental emergency;
- water effluents from processes;
- water effluents from site runoff; and
- slags, sludges, slimes, and other residues and wastes.

Pollutant releases of concern in facility air emissions include:

- sulphur dioxide;
- particulate matter, including particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns in size (PM$_{10}$) and particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in size (PM$_{2.5}$);
- certain heavy metal compounds; and
- certain organic compounds.

In addition to effluent acidic or alkaline pH, the following substances in water effluents and contaminated surface water and groundwater are of concern:

- suspended and dissolved solids and metals; and
- oil and grease.

S.5 RECOMMENDED ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION PRACTICES

To reduce or eliminate the adverse environmental impacts associated with smelters and refineries, the environmental practices summarized in Tables S.1–S.4 are recommended.
### TABLE S.1: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Summary of Recommendations: Environmental Management Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 101</td>
<td>Environmental Policy Statement</td>
<td>Each company should develop and implement an environmental policy statement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 102</td>
<td>Environmental Management Systems</td>
<td>Each facility should develop, implement, and maintain an environmental management system in accordance with ISO 14001(^1) or an equivalent standard or system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| R 103  | Environmental Management Plans               | Each facility should develop and implement a site-specific environmental management plan, consistent with the *Environmental Management Plan Guidance Document for the Base Metals Smelting Sector.*\(^2\) The plan should include, as a minimum, the following:   
   (i) company and site information;  
   (ii) environmental policy statement;  
   (iii) environmental performance requirements;  
   (iv) air quality management systems;  
   (v) water quality management systems;  
   (vi) solids management systems;  
   (vii) land management systems;  
   (viii) pollution prevention planning;  
   (ix) emissions reduction options, targets, and schedules;  
   (x) environmental management systems and auditing;  
   (xi) community relationships;  
   (xii) communication procedures; and  
   (xiii) periodic environmental management plan review for effectiveness and continual improvement. |
| R 104  | Environmental Assessment                     | Environmental assessment principles should be followed by companies for new and significantly modified or expanded facilities, consistent with the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency Reference Guide,\(^3\) Table 1.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| R 105  | Pollution Prevention Planning                | Each facility should develop and implement a pollution prevention plan, consistent with Environment Canada’s *Pollution Prevention Planning Handbook*\(^4\) or in compliance with any Pollution Prevention Plan Notification issued under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999.*                                                                                                           |
| R 106  | Emergency Planning                            | Each facility should develop and make publicly available an environmental emergency plan, consistent with Environment Canada’s *Implementation Guidelines for Part 8 of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 — Environmental Emergency Plans.*\(^5\) |
| R 107  | Decommissioning Planning                     | A facility should begin planning for decommissioning in the design stage of the project life cycle for new facilities and as early as possible in the operating stage for existing facilities. Site closures and associated decommissioning activities should be undertaken by the facility, consistent with the *National Guidelines for Decommissioning Industrial Sites.*\(^6\) |
| R 108  | Environmental Training                       | Each facility should establish and maintain procedures to identify its environmental training needs and ensure that all personnel who work in areas that may create a significant adverse impact upon the environment have received training.                                                                                                         |
| R 109  | Environmental Facility Inspection             | Each facility should develop and implement an environmental inspection plan.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| R 110  | Environmental Auditing                       | Each facility should conduct periodic environmental audits by internal, corporate, or third-party auditors.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

---

### TABLE S.2: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ATMOSPHERIC RELEASES MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Summary of Recommendations: Atmospheric Releases Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 201</td>
<td>Prevention and Control of Fugitive Air Emissions</td>
<td>Each facility should identify potential sources of fugitive emissions and should prevent or control those emissions through the use of appropriate mitigative measures. These sources may include unpaved roads, storage piles, material conveyance systems, waste disposal piles, and leaks from processes and buildings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 202</td>
<td>Collection and Control of Process Air Emissions</td>
<td>Each facility should ensure that air pollution control equipment is adequately sized, designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to contain and control pollutant releases to ambient air from all plant processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 203</td>
<td>Total Particulate Matter Emissions Guidelines</td>
<td>Each facility should be designed and operated to achieve the following recommended release concentrations for total particulate matter after the emission control device of less than 50 mg/Nm(^3).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| R 204  | Sulphur Fixation Guidelines                      | 1. Each facility should consider use of low sulphur feed and recycled materials to reduce emissions of sulphur dioxide.  
2. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 90% by a committed timetable.  
3. Each new copper, lead and zinc smelter should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 99%.  
4. Each new nickel smelter should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 96%. |
| R 205  | Mercury Emissions Guidelines\(^9\)                 | 1. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to limit air release loadings to less than 2 grams of mercury per tonne of finished product.  
2. Each new or expanded facility should be designed and operated to limit air release loadings in accordance with the following:  
   (a) less than 0.2 grams of mercury per tonne production of finished zinc, nickel, and lead; and  
   (b) less than 1 gram of mercury per tonne production of finished copper. |
| R 206  | Dioxins and Furans Emissions Guidelines\(^10\)     | 1. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to limit release concentrations of dioxins and furans to less than 100 pg ITEQ\(^9\)/Rm\(^3\).  
2. Each new facility should be designed and operated to limit release concentrations of dioxins and furans to less than 32 pg ITEQ\(^9\)/Rm\(^3\). |

\(^9\) ITEQ = International Toxicity Equivalency Quotient.
Each facility should develop facility emission reduction targets for and timetables to achieve reductions in releases of arsenic, cadmium, lead, nickel, mercury, and other metals of concern, taking into account facility emission reduction targets for sulphur dioxide and particulate matter, pollution prevention and control options, and performances for various feeds, smelting processes, and emission control systems.

Each facility should measure or estimate and report releases, consistent with the Guidance Document for Reporting Releases from the Base Metals Smelting Sector and in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory.

In addition to the source performance recommendations of R 203 and R 204, each facility should design and operate air emission prevention and control systems, taking into account local conditions and the following ambient air quality objectives, standards, criteria and guidelines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>1 hour</th>
<th>8 hours</th>
<th>24 hours</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) (µg/m³)</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO Guideline (SO₂) (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total suspended particulate (TSP) (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter (PM₁₀) (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (O₃) (ppb)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals (µg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cadmium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nickel</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
3. Ontario Ministry of the Environment’s Ambient Air Quality Criteria for Arsenic and Its Compounds.
4. Ontario Regulation 337.
5. Ontario Regulation 346 Point of Impingement (POI) 30-minute average.

* Reference level is the level above which there are demonstrated effects on human health and/or the environment.

Each facility should develop and implement an ambient air quality monitoring program in consultation with the regulatory bodies having authority over the facility. This program should include the sampling and analysis of metals, particulate matter (total, PM₁₀, and PM₂.₅), sulphur dioxide, and other pollutants of concern, taking into account:
(i) the location of release sources under the control of the facility operator and other sources affecting air quality; and
(ii) local meteorological conditions and probable maximum pollutant deposition areas.

12 Environment Canada, National Pollutant Release Inventory (www.ec.gc.ca/pdb/npr/npr_home_e.cfm).
### TABLE S.3: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR WATER AND WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Subject Summary of Recommendations: Water and Wastewater Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 301</td>
<td>Water Use/Reuse Water use should be minimized, to the maximum extent practicable, possibly through the recycling or reuse of water and the cascading of cooling water and wastewater between production processes using lower-quality water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 302</td>
<td>Wastewater Collection All wastewater streams that may exceed the effluent criteria of R 304 should be directed to a treatment facility prior to discharge to the environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 303</td>
<td>Wastewater Containment Sizing Wastewater collection and containment systems should be designed to contain the maximum volume of liquid that could reasonably be expected to be in storage for the following conditions: (i) the maximum volume of wastewater that would be accumulated during the time required to shut down wastewater generating processes, plus 50%; (ii) 110% of the volume that could enter the containment system in the event of a leak, spill, or other like incident; or (iii) the accumulated precipitation from a 24-hour, 50-year storm (return period) for outdoor containments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| R 304  | Water Effluent Guidelines Wastewater treatment facilities should be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to achieve the following effluent quality prior to release:  

- **On a continuous basis:**  
  - pH: 6.0–9.5  
  - Maximum monthly mean concentration:  
    - Total suspended solids: 15.0 mg/L  
    - Arsenic: 0.5 mg/L  
    - Copper: 0.3 mg/L  
    - Cyanide*: 1.0 mg/L  
    - Lead: 0.2 mg/L  
    - Nickel: 0.5 mg/L  
    - Zinc: 0.5 mg/L  

* If cyanide is used in the process.  

- **Non-acutely lethal effluent:**  
  - No more than 50% mortality of *Daphnia magna* and rainbow trout test species in 100% effluent when tested in accordance with Environment Canada Reference Methods 1/RM/13 and 1/RM/14. |
| R 305  | Water Effluent Reporting Effluents should be monitored, tested, estimated and reported, consistent with Environment Canada's Guidance Document for the Sampling and Analysis of Metal Mining Effluents and Guidance Document for Flow Measurement of Metal Mining Effluents and in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory. |

---

17 Environment Canada, National Pollutant Release Inventory (www.ec.gc.ca/pbrrnpr/npri_home_e.cfm).
### Summary of Recommendations: Water and Wastewater Management

In addition to the source performance recommendations of R 304 and R 305, each facility should design and operate effluent discharge systems, taking into account local conditions and the following ambient water quality objectives:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Guideline* (µg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>5–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (total)**</td>
<td>(see table in Section 4.3.6 of the Code)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (un-ionized)***</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (total)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium - Trivalent chromium (Cr(III))</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium - Hexavalent chromium (Cr(VI))</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (total)</td>
<td>2–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide (free)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (total)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (total)</td>
<td>1–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury - Inorganic mercury</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury - Methylmercury</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel (total)</td>
<td>25–150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5–9.0****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium (total)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (total)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Freshwater Aquatic Life (Summary Table update December 2003).
2. Ammonia (total) is used to describe the sum of ammonia (NH₃) and ammonium (NH₄⁺).
3. Ionized ammonia refers to the ammonium ion (NH₄⁺).
4. No units for pH.

### R 307 Aquatic Environmental Effects Monitoring

Each facility that discharges to a receiving water, should develop and implement an aquatic environmental effects monitoring program, consistent with Environment Canada’s Metal Mining Guidance Document for Aquatic Environmental Effects Monitoring.¹⁸

TABLE S.4: RECOMMENDATIONS FOR WASTE MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Subject Summary of Recommendations: Waste Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 401</td>
<td>Each facility should develop, implement, and maintain a waste reduction, reuse, and recycling program. The program should be one that: (i) identifies opportunities for in-plant reduction, reuse, and recycling of wastes; (ii) develops and implements plans for the evaluation and implementation of reduction, reuse, and recycling opportunities; (iii) identifies and evaluates market opportunities for wastes with a view to maximizing waste material reduction, reuse, and recycling (this includes the sale of by-products that would otherwise be considered wastes); and (iv) develops and implements a research and development program for reducing, reusing, and recycling residual wastes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 402</td>
<td>Expansions to existing waste disposal sites and the design and construction of new sites should be undertaken so as to ensure that: (i) the site plan is updated to clearly show the location and dimensions of the new or expanded waste disposal site; (ii) the perimeter of the disposal area is far enough away from all watercourses to prevent contamination by runoff, seepage, or fugitive emissions; (iii) the surface drainage from off-site areas is diverted around the disposal area; (iv) the expanded area is hidden from view by fences, berms, or buffer zones; and (v) future beneficial uses of the waste disposal site after its closure have been considered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 403</td>
<td>Solid waste disposal sites should be developed in accordance with the following practices: (i) the disposal area should be developed in modules or cells; (ii) all wastes should be placed such that they have physical and chemical stability suitable for land reuse, if the disposal area is reclaimed; (iii) contouring, capping, and reclamation of cells should be undertaken throughout the operating life of the site; and (iv) all disposal sites should be reclaimed for beneficial uses before final closure or restricted from public access if they cannot be reclaimed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 404</td>
<td>All waste disposal sites should be managed in accordance with documented, site-specific waste management plans approved by the appropriate regulatory bodies having authority over the facility so that: (i) solid, liquid, and hazardous wastes are transferred only to facilities specifically designed, approved, and operated for that purpose; (ii) access to the site is controlled and disposal activities are supervised by trained personnel; and (iii) records are maintained of the types, approximate quantities, and point of origin of the wastes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 405</td>
<td>A groundwater monitoring program should be developed for all waste disposal sites in accordance with the following guidelines: (i) a permanent system of appropriately located piezometers and wells should be provided; (ii) a program of pre-operational monitoring of groundwater regimes should be initiated; (iii) groundwater samples should be collected at least quarterly; and (iv) each groundwater sample should be analyzed for pH, total dissolved solids, and other appropriate site-specific parameters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 406</td>
<td>Wastes disposed of and transferred should be reported, in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 407</td>
<td>Wastes should be managed consistent with the Guidance Document for Management of Wastes from the Base Metals Smelting Sector.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19 Environment Canada, National Pollutant Release Inventory (www.ec.gc.ca/pdb/hpr/npri_home_e.cfm).
Under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 (CEPA 1999), Environment Canada and Health Canada are accountable for the assessment and management of toxic substances. Responsibilities under CEPA 1999 include identifying substances that may be toxic and assessing them to determine whether they are toxic as defined under section 64. If assessed to be toxic, they are recommended for addition to the List of Toxic Substances (Schedule I of CEPA 1999).

CEPA 1999 contains provisions for developing regulations or instruments respecting preventive or control actions and for requiring pollution prevention plans, virtual elimination plans, and environmental emergency plans.

CEPA 1999 also provides for the development of environmental quality objectives, environmental quality guidelines, release guidelines and codes of practice. Under CEPA 1999, subsection 54(1), paragraph (d), the Minister shall issue:

> ... codes of practice respecting pollution prevention or specifying procedures, practices or release limits for environmental control relating to works, undertakings and activities during any phase of their development and operation, including the location, design, construction, start-up, closure, dismantling and clean-up phases and any subsequent monitoring activities.

Under the Fisheries Act, there are prohibitions against the deposit of deleterious substances into waters frequented by fish and against any works or undertakings that result in the harmful alteration, disruption, or destruction of fish habitat.

Under the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment’s Canada-wide Accord on Environmental Harmonization, Canada-wide Standards and Multi-pollutant Emission Reduction Strategies have been developed for various industrial sectors, including base metals smelting.

Under the 2000 Ozone Annex to the 1991 Canada–United States Air Quality Agreement, there are commitments to reduce the releases of substances that contribute to ground-level ozone, such as nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds.

Under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe’s Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution, there are protocols that commit Canada to reductions of specified releases.

In response to concerns about the globalization of trade, there is an emerging trend towards the globalization of environmental performance standards.

All of these factors affect the base metals smelting and refining sector.

Various substances on the List of Toxic Substances in Schedule I to CEPA 1999 are released by base metals smelters and refineries. Following the assessment of these substances as toxic, a multistakeholder consultation, called the Strategic Options Process, was conducted and resulted in various recommendations for the management of toxic substances from the sector. These recommendations included the development of environmental performance standards.

The Strategic Options Process was launched in May 1996 to assess potential options for the management of substances declared toxic under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act of 1988, the predecessor of CEPA 1999. The toxic substances examined during the Strategic Options Process were known to be released by the base metals smelting sector. Under the Strategic Options Process, a multistakeholder Issue Table was convened to identify and evaluate options and provide advice to the Ministers of the Environment and Health. The Base Metals Smelting Sector Issue Table held 10 meetings between May 1996 and February 1997. Environment Canada’s objective in this exercise was to consider options to reduce releases and the adverse environmental impacts of the toxic substances in question.

---

Similarly, the goal of Health Canada in this undertaking was to minimize health risks by examining means to reduce human exposure to these substances.

The Strategic Options Process culminated in the development of a Strategic Options Report that reported on stakeholder consultations. The Strategic Options Report for the base metals smelting sector advanced recommendations for the following:

- the reduction of toxic substances, with specific targets and timelines for achievement;
- the development of environmental standards;
- the development of site-specific environmental management plans;
- consistent data and reporting, with independent verification, by base metals smelting facilities;
- federal–provincial/territorial cooperation to avoid duplication;
- enhancing opportunities for recycling and for increasing the recyclability of products produced by the base metals smelting sector;
- testing of releases for dioxins and furans;
- research and development to characterize releases and to identify and develop pollution prevention opportunities and technologies;
- establishing ongoing public education and community programs; and
- a public review, conducted by the Ministers of the Environment and Health, to assess the implementation and effectiveness of the Strategic Options Report.

Table 1 indicates the amounts of refined metals that were produced in Canada in 2002.

The non-ferrous smelting and refining portion of the Canadian mining industry employed 26,894 people in 1999 and, together with ferrous metals production, contributed some $4.648 billion to Canada’s national Gross Domestic Product. In 1998, Canada was the second largest producer of refined nickel and slab zinc in the world. Canada was also the third largest producer of cobalt metal and the seventh largest producer of both refined copper and refined lead.

Production processes can include roasting, leaching, and electrolytic techniques. Figure 1 shows a simplified overview of the extraction and processing of base metals.

General descriptions of the major processes used in the production of copper, lead, zinc, nickel, and cobalt are provided in Section 2.0.

### Table 1: Refined Metals Production in Canada (2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Production (tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refined copper</td>
<td>495 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined lead (primary and secondary)</td>
<td>251 820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slab zinc</td>
<td>793 475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined nickel</td>
<td>144 476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt metal</td>
<td>4 303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

23 Ibid. pp. 9
24 Natural Resources Canada, Minerals and Metals Sector (http://mmsd1.mms.nrcan.gc.ca/mmsd/productions/Table_4x.htm).
FIGURE 1: PROCESS OVERVIEW FOR THE EXTRACTION AND PROCESSING OF BASE METALS

1.2 CODE OBJECTIVES
The overall objectives of the Environmental Code of Practice are to identify and promote recommended practices as requirements for new facilities and as goals for continual improvements for existing facilities.

1.3 CODE STRUCTURE
The Code describes operational activities (Section 2.0) and related environmental concerns, such as atmospheric releases, wastewater discharges, and waste management, from each of the manufacturing activities described (Section 3.0). This is followed by a section on recommended environmental protection practices and mitigative measures for activities of potential adverse environmental effect (Section 4.0).

---

1.4 CODE SCOPE

The Environmental Code of Practice for Base Metals Smelters and Refineries outlines environmental concerns associated with the operational activities typical to the sector. To mitigate these concerns, recommendations for environmental performance are presented. The Code also contains recommended measures to prevent or mitigate adverse effects on the environment that could result from the activities of the sector.

The recommended practices in the Code include guidance for environmental management systems and guidelines for environmental releases to air, water, and land, based on best available techniques for pollution prevention and control.

Although the recommendations are intended to be clear and specific to the intended results, they are not intended to discourage the use of alternative technologies and practices that can achieve an equivalent or better level of environmental protection.

The Code does not have the force of law, and, therefore, it is not a substitute for existing regulatory requirements of the municipal, provincial/territorial, and federal authorities. Commitment by companies to conform to the Code recommendations does not remove obligations to comply with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements.

1.5 CODE DEVELOPMENT

The Code was developed in consultation with a Base metals Environmental Multistakeholder Advisory Group (BEMAG).

Federal, provincial/territorial, and international environmental criteria of relevance to the operation of smelters and refineries were considered in the development of the recommendations in the Code. Environmental management practices recommended by various national and international organizations were also incorporated. Sources of standards-related information include environmental agencies in the European Union and Japan, the World Bank Group, and the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. Information on best management practices was drawn from various reports and literature produced by provinces/territories, Environment Canada, the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the United Nations Environment Programme, the World Bank Group, and the Mining Association of Canada, as well as from individual smelters and refineries and technical journals.

1.6 CODE IMPLEMENTATION

Options for the recommended use and implementation of the Code include:

• voluntary adoption by a corporation and/or facility;
• use as performance benchmarks for environmental audits;
• use as a benchmark for public corporate commitments and performance reporting;
• inclusion as a commitment in an environmental performance agreement between a corporation and/or facility and government bodies responsible for environmental protection or pollution prevention;
• inclusion of some or all of the Code recommendations as requirements by financial lending institutions and/or insurance companies or underwriters;
• inclusion of some or all of the Code recommendations as regulatory requirements at the federal, provincial, territorial, municipal or aboriginal government level.
This section describes the major activities involved in the operation of base metals smelters and refineries. It is not intended to be an all-inclusive list of operational activities of potential environmental significance, nor are all activities and techniques necessarily applicable to all base metals smelters and refineries. Rather, the intent is to identify the nature and scope of activities addressed in the Code with emphasis on those activities that relate to the possible adverse environmental impacts and to the mitigative measures that are discussed in Sections 3.0 and 4.0.

The main processes and common techniques involved in the extraction and refining of base metals generally proceed as shown in Figure 2.

**FIGURE 2: PROCESSES INVOLVED IN THE EXTRACTION AND REFINING OF BASE METALS**

![Diagram of processes involving in the extraction and refining of base metals]

Legend:
- **TPM**: Total particulate matter
- **PM**: Particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns
- **PM**: Particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns
- **TSS**: Total suspended solids
- **SO**: Sulphur dioxide
- **NO**: Nitrogen oxides
- **CO**: Carbon dioxide

Adapted from Environment Canada, Strategic Options for the Management of Toxic Substances from the Base Metals Smelting Sector, Report of Stakeholder Consultations, June 23, 1997.
The key metal recovery technologies that are used to produce refined metals are:

(i) *Pyrometallurgical* technologies, which separate desired metals from other less desirable or undesirable materials in the molten state at very high temperatures. These processes capitalize on the differences between constituent oxidation potential, melting point, vapour pressure, density, and/or miscibility when melted.

(ii) *Hydrometallurgical* technologies, which differ from pyrometallurgical processes in that the desired metals are separated from undesirables using techniques that capitalize on differences between constituent solubilities and/or electrochemical properties in acid or basic solutions at temperatures generally below 300°C.

(iii) *Vapo-metallurgical* technologies, which apply to the Inco Carbonyl Process, whereby nickel alloys are treated with carbon monoxide gas to form nickel carbonyl.

Sulphur dioxide can be captured during pyrometallurgical processing and recovered as sulphuric acid.

Primary smelting and refining processes produce metals directly from ores, while secondary smelting and refining processes produce metals from scrap and process wastes. Most primary smelters have the technical capability to supplement primary feed with recyclable materials. Several have done so. Examples of scrap feedstock include post-consumer goods, such as telephone and computer components, metal parts, bars, turnings, sheets, and wire that is off-specification or worn out. Lead has the largest and most developed recycling component, resulting primarily from the relatively short product life of lead acid batteries and the relative ease with which batteries can be segregated at source for collection and recycling.

Base metals include copper, lead, nickel and zinc. Depending upon the origin of the ore or scrap metal and its residual metals content, various metals, such as gold, silver, indium, germanium, cadmium, bismuth, and selenium, may be recovered as co-products. A general overview of the major processes currently employed by the base metals smelting sector is given in this section. Canadian site-specific flow sheets and process descriptions for existing facilities can be found in the report *Review of Environmental Releases for the Base Metals Smelting Sector*, prepared for Environment Canada by Hatch Associates Ltd. and dated November 3, 2000.

### 2.1 PRETREATMENT

Pretreatment of feed materials includes drying of slurry concentrates, milling, sorting and separation of scrap material, and feed proportioning. Pretreatment is conducted to ensure that feeds are in appropriate condition and proportions for initial processing.

### 2.2 ROASTING

Roasting is the conventional technique used in the pyrometallurgical processing of copper, nickel, and zinc sulphide concentrates. During roasting, the sulphur is removed by adding air and simultaneously heating and drying the concentrate to achieve sulphur content favourable for smelting. The sulphur is released as sulphur dioxide. Roasting to completion eliminates the sulphur and produces the metal oxide for reduction by carbon or carbon monoxide or for leaching in a sulphuric acid solution followed by electrowinning. Incomplete roasting is used to remove excess sulphur in copper and nickel sulphides in preparation for the matte smelting process. The sulphur dioxide in the process off-gas is usually recovered as sulphuric acid or, sometimes, liquefied sulphur dioxide.

### 2.3 SMELTING

Smelting serves two functions: first, to melt the concentrates to a molten state, and second, to separate the metal of value from other less desirable metals, impurities, and gangue materials.

Concentrates are fed to the furnace along with fluxing agents, fuel (oil, natural gas), and oxygen (in the form of air, pure oxygen, or oxygen-enriched air). High temperatures from combustion and oxidation in the smelting furnace cause the feed materials to melt. Separation of the metal of value from other impurities and gangue materials occurs through fluxing, where the siliceous fluxing agent forms a silica–iron–sulphur slag. Some impurities (e.g., sulphur, some metal compounds) are also separated from the metal of value through oxidation and volatilization.

The resulting product from a smelter is a molten matte bullion containing a high concentration of the metal of value.
Layers of matte or bullion and slag are tapped from the furnace, or, in the case of continuous processes (e.g., Mitsubishi process), the materials travel through covered gravity-flow launders to the next processing stage. Primary and secondary releases of process off-gases are captured through direct ductwork from the furnace and/or overhead canopies. The collected off-gases are treated by a gas conditioning system, which may include removal of sulphur dioxide, particulate matter, fume, etc. Smelter slags are treated or “cleaned” to recover any remaining metal of value.29

2.4 CONVERTING

Converting is used primarily for copper and nickel matte processing and serves to remove residual sulphur and iron in the matte from the smelter. Converters also have the capability of processing high-grade scrap materials. Both continuous and batch converting processes are used in Canada. Air or oxygen-enriched air is blown through the matte, generating off-gases containing sulphur dioxide and volatile metals such as lead and zinc. Continuous converting allows for better capture of process off-gases and a consistent and/or higher concentration of sulphur dioxide, enabling capture of the sulphur dioxide through the production of sulphuric acid. Converting produces blister copper, named for the blisters of air/oxygen trapped in the molten material. Slag from the converter typically has a high copper concentration and can be returned to the smelting furnace for recovery of the copper.

2.5 FIRE REFINING (ANODE REFINING)

Prior to final casting or electrowinning, impurities must be further removed from metals. This process is sometimes used in the production of copper in Canada. Fire refining lowers the sulphur and oxygen levels in blister copper and removes the impurities as slag or volatile products. Reverberatory or rotary furnaces are used. First, air is blown through the molten mixture to oxidize the copper and volatilize the sulphur impurities, producing a small amount of slag. Sodium carbonate flux may be added to remove arsenic and antimony. Then the copper is reduced by a process known as “poling” with green wood poles or by feeding ammonia or natural gas to remove the oxygen, forming the purer copper to be cast as anodes.

2.6 ELECTROREFINING

Electrorefining produces a purified metal from a less pure metal. This process is used to refine copper, nickel, and lead in Canada. The metal to be purified is cast as an anode and placed in an electrolytic cell. A current is applied, and the metal is dissolved into an acidic aqueous electrolyte or molten salt. The pure metal is electrowplated or deposited on the starter plates, which act as the cathodes. Metallic impurities either dissolve in the electrolyte or precipitate out and form a sludge. These anode slimes contain precious metals such as silver, gold, and tellurium and are recovered. The cathode deposits are washed, then cast into bars, ingots, or slabs for sale.

2.7 CARBONYL REFINING

The carbonyl process is used for refining crude nickel oxide. Carbon monoxide and the crude nickel react to form nickel carbonyl at high pressure. The volatile and highly toxic nickel carbonyl is refined by separation of solid impurities. With further heating, the carbon monoxide separates, and high-purity nickel powder or pellets are formed. The solid impurities contain copper and precious metals, which are recovered. The generated carbon monoxide off-gases are recycled back to the process.

2.8 LEACHING

Leaching requires the use of an acid or other solvent to dissolve the metal content of ores and concentrates before refining and electrowinning. Leaching is usually conducted using material in the form of an oxide, either an oxidic ore or an oxide produced by roasting. Sulphidic ores can also be leached, but require conditions that promote oxidation of the ore or concentrate, such as high pressure, presence of bacteria, and/or the addition of oxygen, chlorine, or ferric chloride. The pregnant leach solution is processed by solvent extraction and is purified. The purified solution is then used for electrowinning and refining of the metal.

2.9 ELECTROWINNING

Electrowinning is used to capture metal dissolved in the pregnant solution produced during leaching (purified electrolyte). Electrowinning is used for refining zinc, copper, nickel, and cobalt in Canada. The process

is conducted in tank houses, with electrolytic cells containing the purified electrolyte, inert anodes, and starter cathodes of the pure metal (for copper refining) or permanent cathodes of stainless steel or aluminum. Electric current is passed through the cell, and the dissolved metal ions (metal of value) are deposited onto the cathode. Oxygen gas, acid mist, and spent electrolyte (acid) are generated through the electrowinning process. The spent electrolyte is returned to the leaching process. After a sufficient time lapse, the cathodes are removed. Either the cathodes are sold directly or the metal is stripped from the cathode, melted, and cast.

2.10 CASTING

The casting process involves melting the metal and passing it through a holding furnace and into the caster, where billets, blocks, slabs or cakes, and rods are produced. Casting can be done continuously or in batches. Stationary casting uses a casting wheel with a series of moulds, which can be on the circumference of a rotating table that passes through a series of cooling water jets. Continuous casting involves the production of a continuous bar or rod for reduction to wire. The bar or rod is cut into shape using shears or by casting in special side dam blocks spaced in defined intervals in the caster. The billets can be heated, then extruded and drawn into tubes. Slabs or cakes are preheated and rolled into sheets and strips. Ingots are produced using a fixed mould casting process.

2.11 PROCESS OFF-GAS CONDITIONING

Off-gases from smelting facilities typically contain sulphur dioxide, particulate matter, fume (e.g., volatile metals), and other pollutants of concern, such as carbon dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and organics. Off-gases are treated to remove sulphur dioxide, particulate matter, and/or other pollutants before being released to ambient air.

For removal of particulate matter and dust, cyclones are used to remove medium- to large-sized particles. Cyclones are not considered sufficient control devices on their own to remove particulate matter. Other control devices with greater dust removal efficiencies include electrostatic precipitators, either hot or wet, and fabric filter baghouses. Hot electrostatic precipitators can withstand higher off-gas temperatures than fabric filter baghouses. However, baghouses can achieve greater dust collection efficiencies than hot electrostatic precipitators. Scrubbers are also used to remove both dust and soluble or acidic gases from the off-gas stream.

Process off-gases with a minimum sulphur dioxide concentration of 5–7% can be used for the manufacture of sulphuric acid and thus can remove the sulphur dioxide from the off-gas stream. Double-contact acid plants are able to achieve a higher rate of conversion of sulphur dioxide in the process off-gas to sulphuric acid compared with single-contact acid plants.

This section presents an overview of environmental concerns related to the major activities and processes used in the smelting and refining of base metals. Typical preventative and control measures taken in modern systems are indicated.

### 3.1 ROASTING

Sulphur dioxide and particulate matter are the principal air contaminants generated during roasting of the concentrates. The sulphur dioxide can be recovered at on-site sulphuric acid plants if the type of roaster used generates a high enough concentration of sulphur dioxide in the off-gas. Otherwise, the roaster off-gases are cleaned in electrostatic precipitators and then released to the atmosphere via a stack. Fluid bed roasters, waste heat boilers, cyclones, and scrubbers may also be used to treat off-gases. Metals that may be present in the particulate matter include copper and iron oxides, arsenic, cadmium, lead, mercury, and zinc.

### 3.2 SMELTING

The major environmental concerns associated with smelting are energy consumption, releases of sulphur dioxide and particulate matter to air, and the generation of residues, such as slag and captured dust.

Bath smelting consumes more energy than alternative processes such as flash smelting. Flash smelting makes use of the autogenous reaction between sulphur and oxygen to fuel the smelting process, thus requiring less fuel and energy than bath smelting. Taking primary copper production as an example, bath smelting has energy requirements ranging from 35 to 47 gigajoules per tonne (GJ/tonne) of cathode copper produced.\(^{31}\) Flash smelting has been reported to have energy requirements of approximately 23 GJ/tonne of cathode copper produced, which is about half of the energy required for bath smelting.\(^{32}\)

Releases of sulphur oxides, including sulphur dioxide, are a significant environmental concern for primary smelters. Sulphur in the concentrate feed that does not remain in the slag, matte, or bullion is oxidized to form sulphur dioxide. Smelter off-gases containing a sulphur dioxide concentration of 5–7% or higher can be used for the manufacture of sulphuric acid. Flash smelting generates higher percentages of sulphur dioxide in the off-gases compared with bath smelting, and both flash smelting and continuous processes allow for better collection of the off-gases, producing a consistent concentration of sulphur dioxide in the off-gas, which is ideal for the production of sulphuric acid.

Smelter off-gases also contain particulate matter, organics, and volatile metals, such as mercury.

Slag from the smelting process is also an environmental concern. In general, smelter slags may not contain a high enough concentration of the metal of value to be returned to the smelter. Slags are typically cleaned to recover the remaining metal of value and are then disposed of in landfills or tailings ponds. Cleaned slags have been used as aggregate in the construction industry or as an abrasive for sandblasting.

### 3.3 CONVERTING

Off-gases from the converter require treatment to remove sulphur dioxide, dust or particulate matter, and fume prior to discharge to ambient air. Off-gases from batch converters are high in volume and low in sulphur dioxide. With these characteristics, the off-gas may be unsuitable as a feed for acid plants. Therefore, it is often conditioned to remove particulate matter and then vented to ambient air. However, continuous converting produces a more consistent and higher concentration of sulphur dioxide in the off-gases than batch converting, and the off-gases are suitable for acid production/sulphur fixation.\(^{33}\)

Slag generated during the converting process is often returned to the smelter to recover metals.

---


32 Ibid.

3.4 **FIRE REFINING**

Air releases of nitrogen oxides, particulate matter, and metals arise from the fire-refining process.

Fugitive emissions are generated during the charging and discharging of the anode furnaces. These may be collected with a secondary hood or an enclosure around the furnace with a small opening.

Slag produced from the anode furnace is minor in amount and can be recycled within the plant.

3.5 **ELECTROREFINING**

Electrolytic refining does not produce releases to the atmosphere unless the associated sulphuric acid tanks are open to the atmosphere. However, spent electrolyte and wash water contain significant quantities of metal compounds in solution and are treated before discharge to water. The metal compounds that are deposited at the bottom of the electrolytic cell during the electrorefining process (i.e. the impurities) form what is known as anode slime. The slimes are collected and processed to extract precious metals, such as silver, gold, and tellurium.

3.6 **CARBONYL REFINING**

Carbonyl refining produces gas bleed streams that contain waste nickel carbonyl, a highly toxic substance. Incinerators should be used to convert the nickel carbonyl to nickel oxide and carbon dioxide. Particulate matter may be released from the transfer of nickel oxide concentrate, from the drying of solids recovered from the aqueous effluent, and from local exhaust ventilation gases. Electrostatic precipitators are typically used for dust abatement, since inlet temperatures are too high for fabric filters. The collected dust may be sluiced with water on discharge and may be dried and recovered for recycling.

3.7 **LEACHING**

A significant environmental issue arising from the leaching process is the generation of ferrite residues. These iron-based residues contain various concentrations of heavy metals and present a risk to the environment by the gradual leaching of heavy metals from the residue material. Residues generated during the leaching process may be landfilled or stored in a secure site, stabilized to immobilize the metals, or sent to another process for recovery of remaining metals of value.

3.8 **ELECTROWINNING**

As electrowinning takes place in tank houses open to the atmosphere, oxygen or other gases generated during the electrowinning process can entrain the acid or other solvent into the air.

3.9 **CASTING**

Air releases of particulate matter and metals arise from the transfer of molten metal to the mould and from the cutting to length of the product with torches. Wastewater effluents are generated during the cooling and cleaning of the hot metal and can contain scale particles and oil. Wastewater is typically treated and reused or recycled. Solid waste is generated from the cutting of the metal but is minor in amount and is recycled within the plant.

3.10 **PROCESS OFF-GAS CONDITIONING**

Process off-gas conditioning generates collected dusts and sludges, which are either returned to production processes for recovery of metals or disposed of. The type of off-gas conditioning technology may also be of environmental concern. In some cases, there is a potential that the use of wet electrostatic precipitators and wet scrubbers could result in the cross-media transfer of pollutants.
This section presents recommended mitigative measures for activities of potential environmental concern. These recommendations were derived from regulatory and non-regulatory standards, in particular on environmental practices, published by various agencies and organizations.

The overall objectives of the Code are to identify and promote recommended practices as requirements for new facilities and as goals for continual improvements for existing facilities.

Application of the recommendations to individual facilities may involve practices that are not mentioned in this Code but that may achieve an equivalent or better level of environmental protection.

Site-specific municipal, provincial/territorial, federal, and legal requirements must be taken into account where they exist. Recommendations that are not legally binding or conditions set down by insurance companies or financial, lending institutions, may also be applicable.

For some of the recommendations presented, following explanatory text is provided.

### 4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

In the context of this Code of Practice, the term ‘environmental management systems’ is used to capture an organized set of activities, actions, processes, and procedures that go beyond legal requirements in helping to ensure that facilities have minimal adverse impact on the environment in which they operate. The effective development and implementation of environmental management systems should also facilitate efforts to achieve continual improvement in the overall environmental performance of base metals smelters and refineries.

The recommendations presented in this section take into account policies, principles, and commitments advanced by Environment Canada, the Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, provinces/territories, the Mining Association of Canada, and other organizations.

#### 4.1.1 Environmental Policy Statement

**RECOMMENDATION R 101**

Each company should develop and implement an environmental policy statement.

The policy should relay that protection of the environment is a top organizational priority and that commitment to continual improvement of environmental performance and compliance with laws and regulations are the guiding principles of the organization.

#### 4.1.2 Environmental Management Systems

**RECOMMENDATION R 102**

Each facility should develop, implement, and maintain an environmental management system in accordance with ISO 14001 or an equivalent standard or system.

The management system should, among other things, ensure a process of continual improvement as well as compliance with environmental regulations and voluntary commitments.

---

4.1.3 Environmental Management Plans

**RECOMMENDATION R 103**

Each facility should develop and implement a site-specific environmental management plan, consistent with the *Environmental Management Plan Guidance Document for the Base Metals Smelting Sector*. The plan should include, as a minimum, the following:

(i) company and site information;
(ii) environmental policy statement;
(iii) environmental performance requirements;
(iv) air quality management systems;
(v) water quality management systems;
(vi) solids management systems;
(vii) land management systems;
(viii) pollution prevention planning;
(ix) emissions reduction options, targets, and schedules;
(x) environmental management systems and auditing;
(xi) community relationships;
(xii) communication procedures; and
(xiii) periodic environmental management plan review for effectiveness and continual improvement.

4.1.4 Environmental Assessment

**RECOMMENDATION R 104**

Environmental assessment principles should be followed by companies for new and significantly modified or expanded facilities, consistent with the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency Reference Guide*, Table 1.

4.1.5 Pollution Prevention Planning

**RECOMMENDATION R 105**

Each facility should develop and implement a pollution prevention plan, consistent with Environment Canada’s *Pollution Prevention Planning Handbook* or in compliance with any Pollution Prevention Plan Notification issued under the *Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999*.

The intention of prevention is to eliminate the root cause of pollution, rather than treating the symptoms. For example, pollution prevention relies on source reduction to address inefficiencies in the production of goods and services at their source, resulting in reduced waste and releases. Thus, pollution prevention planning is a systematic comprehensive method of identifying options to minimize or avoid the creation of pollutants and waste.

---

4.1.6 Emergency Planning

**RECOMMENDATION R 106**

Each facility should develop and make publicly available an environmental emergency plan, consistent with Environment Canada's *Implementation Guidelines for Part 8 of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 — Environmental Emergency Plans.*

Existing emergency response plans can be modified or used as is, if they are consistent with the recommendations spelled out in the above guideline. An effective plan would address, among other things, aspects of prevention, preparedness, response, and recovery for an uncontrolled, unplanned, or accidental release of a toxic substance or hazardous substance in the facility. Companies should review their hazardous chemicals and storage facilities and develop an environmental emergency plan. They should also verify if the Environmental Emergency Regulations under CEPA 1999 apply to any of the substances on their premises and if the laws of other jurisdictions (such as provinces and municipalities) may also apply.

4.1.7 Decommissioning Planning

**RECOMMENDATION R 107**

A facility should begin planning for decommissioning in the design stage of the project life cycle for new facilities and as early as possible in the operating stage for existing facilities. Site closures and associated decommissioning activities should be undertaken by the facility, consistent with the *National Guidelines for Decommissioning Industrial Sites.*

This could help to identify areas of the facility whose closure or decommissioning may cause an environmental problem. This could also help to implement operational procedures and management practices that could prevent or reduce site contamination. Decommissioning should be carried out in a way that ensures that no or limited adverse risk to the environment or human health remains after closure.

4.1.8 Environmental Training

**RECOMMENDATION R 108**

Each facility should establish and maintain procedures to identify its environmental training needs and ensure that all personnel who work in areas that may create a significant adverse impact upon the environment have received training.

The facility should also require that contractors working on its behalf are able to demonstrate that their employees have appropriate training so that they can avoid adverse impacts on the environment as a result of their activities. The environmental training program should include:

(i) a list by job title or classification of all personnel who require training; and

(ii) an outline of the topics to be covered, the training methods to be used, and the required frequency of refresher training for each group of personnel.

4.1.9 Environmental Facility Inspection

**RECOMMENDATION R 109**

Each facility should develop and implement an environmental inspection plan.

The plan could include:

(i) documented procedures for the inspection of each environmental facility, including air emission control equipment; wastewater treatment facilities; liquid handling, storage, and containment facilities; waste handling, storage, and containment facilities; and air emission and wastewater monitoring and control instrumentation;

(ii) visual observations of, or procedures for detecting air release excursions and liquid leaks;

(iii) a documented schedule for inspections, including timing of inspections and identification of a responsibility centre for carrying out the inspection and for correcting any deficiencies identified during the inspection;

---


(iv) documented procedures for the reporting of inspection results to both internal management and external agencies; and
(v) documented procedures for follow-up to inspection reports.

Facilities should train staff to undertake the environmental inspections.

4.1.10 Environmental Auditing

**RECOMMENDATION R 110**

Each facility should conduct periodic environmental audits by internal, corporate, or third-party auditors.

The audit should be conducted throughout the operating life of the facility as a means of assessing environmental risk, ensuring conformance with regulatory, appropriate non-regulatory, and corporate requirements, and identifying opportunities for improving environmental performance. The audit could be conducted internally or by corporate or third-party auditors. The recommendations advanced in this Code of Practice should be included in the audit criteria.

4.1.11 Environmental Performance Indicators

**RECOMMENDATION R 111**

Each facility should develop a set of environmental performance indicators that can provide an overall measure of the facility’s environmental performance.

These indicators would include a broad and practical set of ecological and economic elements that offer significant opportunities to link environmental performance to financial performance. An example of an environmental performance indicator is expressing pollutant emissions in kilograms per tonne of product manufactured, such as kilograms of sulphur dioxide per tonne of copper.

4.1.12 Product Stewardship

**RECOMMENDATION R 112**

Each company should develop and implement a product stewardship program aimed at minimizing the environmental impacts associated with the products used and produced by the facility and under the control of the company.

Under this program, the company takes full responsibility for the environmental impacts associated with the operational, use, and handling aspects of the products used and produced by its facilities, at every stage of each product’s life cycle that is under the direct control of the company.

The management program may include consideration of:

(i) types of materials used;
(ii) sources of supply of materials;
(iii) sources of energy used;
(iv) type and amount of packaging; and
(v) management of manufacturing by-products and wastes.

4.1.13 Public Reporting

**RECOMMENDATION R 113**

Documented procedures for the monitoring and reporting of environmental performance to the public should be developed and implemented by the facility, consistent with the Guidance Document for Reporting Releases from the Base Metals Smelting Sector40 and taking into account the Global Reporting Initiative’s Sustainability Reporting Guidelines41 for the mining and metals sector.

---

4.1.14 Community Advisory Panel

RECOMMENDATION R 114

Each facility should establish a Community Advisory Panel with representatives from the surrounding community, in order to provide a forum for the review and discussion of facility operations and associated environmental and other concerns.

The objective of the forum should be to address community concerns related to the potential adverse environmental, health, and safety impacts that may arise as a result of the operational activities of the facility. The forum should be used, among other things, to provide opportunity to the community to raise issues of concern, if any, to discuss topics important to them, to obtain information and/or clarification on issues of concern, and also to offer input or advice to the company on those issues. Issues of concern could be site-specific or general concerns regarding, for example, emergency planning, release levels, risk assessment, etc.

The Panel would typically be an advisory group and not a decision-making body.

4.2 Atmospheric Releases Management

4.2.1 Prevention and Control of Fugitive Air Emissions

RECOMMENDATION R 201

Each facility should identify potential sources of fugitive emissions and should prevent or control those emissions through the use of appropriate mitigative measures. These sources may include unpaved roads, storage piles, material conveyance systems, waste disposal piles, and leaks from processes and buildings.

To prevent fugitive emissions and minimize losses, it is essential to implement good housekeeping and best environmental practices. These would, for example, include enclosure of process equipment, use of covered or enclosed conveyors and transfer points, implementation of Leak Detection and Repair programs, covering of major stockpiles, spray coating of smaller and temporary stockpiles, and paving of yards.

4.2.2 Collection and Control of Process Air Emissions

RECOMMENDATION R 202

Each facility should ensure that air pollution control equipment is adequately sized, designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to contain and control pollutant releases to ambient air from all plant processes.

Air pollution control equipment designed on the basis of sound engineering considerations should be able to maintain control of releases to the ambient air from all operational sources and at different operational conditions, including in the event of operational upsets.

4.2.3 Total Particulate Matter Emissions Guidelines

RECOMMENDATION R 203

Each facility should be designed and operated to achieve the following recommended release concentrations for total particulate matter after the emission control device of less than 50 mg/Nm$^3$.

Emission testing should be carried out in a manner that is consistent with Environment Canada’s Guidance Document for Reporting Releases from the Base Metals Smelting Sector$^{42}$ or with Reference Methods for Source Testing: Measurement of Releases of Particulate from Stationary Sources,$^{43}$ as amended from time to time.

In cases where a fabric filter emission control system does not have a stack, emission testing should be carried out in a manner that is consistent with the U.S. Environmental

---


Protection Agency’s Method 5D: Determination of Particulate Matter Emissions from Positive Pressure Fabric Filters.\footnote{44} It is recognized that particulate release estimates for facilities without stacks are typically less accurate than release estimates for facilities equipped with stacks and that relative accuracy must be taken into account in assessing the results of tests conducted in accordance with Method 5D.

### 4.2.4 Sulphur Fixation Guidelines

**RECOMMENDATION R 204**

1. Each facility should consider use of low sulphur feed and recycled materials to reduce emissions of sulphur dioxide.
2. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 90% by a committed timetable.
3. Each new copper, lead and zinc smelter should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 99%.
4. Each new nickel smelter should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 96%.

Sulphur can be fixed in metallurgical processes by at least one of the following four processes:\footnote{45}

- (i) sulphuric acid production;
- (ii) gas scrubbing and gypsum production;
- (iii) liquid sulphur dioxide manufacture; and
- (iv) elemental sulphur production.

All of the processes benefit from high sulphur dioxide concentrations in the gas stream; however, the production of liquid sulphur dioxide and the production of elemental sulphur both require high sulphur dioxide concentrations. This can be achieved by using oxygen-enriched air in the process. The production of sulphuric acid is the most widely used, because it is the easiest and least expensive sulphur fixation method, and the market for sulphuric acid is generally much larger than that for the other products.\footnote{46}

The sulphur fixation values can be calculated in accordance with the methodology applied by Hatch Associates Ltd.\footnote{47}

### 4.2.5 Mercury Emissions Guidelines

**RECOMMENDATION R 205**

1. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to limit air release loadings to less than 2 grams of mercury per tonne of finished product.
2. Each new or expanded facility should be designed and operated to limit air release loadings in accordance with the following:
   - (a) less than 0.2 grams of mercury per tonne production of finished zinc, nickel, and lead; and
   - (b) less than 1 gram of mercury per tonne production of finished copper.

Numerical targets set for the release of mercury from base metals smelters, under the Canada-wide Standards (see Appendix A), are as follows:

- (i) For existing facilities: application by all primary zinc, lead, and copper smelters of best available pollution prevention and control techniques economically achievable to achieve an environmental source performance (atmospheric emission) guideline of 2 grams of mercury per tonne total production of finished metals.

- (ii) For new and expanding facilities: application of best available pollution prevention and control techniques to minimize mercury emissions throughout the life cycle of the minerals in question to achieve an environmental source performance (atmospheric emission) guideline of 0.2 gram of mercury per tonne production of finished zinc, nickel, and lead and 1 gram of mercury per tonne production of finished copper, and consideration of a mercury offset program to ensure that no “net” emission increases occur.

- (iii) Existing facilities will be expected to make a determined effort to meet this standard by 2008, coincident with implementation of the federal Strategic Options Report, while any new facility will be required to design for and achieve compliance immediately upon full-scale operation.\footnote{48}
4.2.6 Dioxins and Furans

**Emissions Guidelines**

**RECOMMENDATION R 206**

1. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to limit release concentrations of dioxins and furans to less than 100 pg ITEQ*/Rm$^3$.

2. Each new facility should be designed and operated to limit release concentrations of dioxins and furans to less than 32 pg ITEQ*/Rm$^3$.

* ITEQ = International Toxicity Equivalency Quotient.

Dioxins and furans are persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic substances. The federal Toxics Substances Management Policy and the Canadian Council of Ministers of Environment Policy on Management of Toxic Substances calls for the virtual elimination of such substances. An ultimate objective is to reduce the concentration of dioxins and furans in air emissions from base metal smelters to below the “level of quantification” which has been determined to be 32 pg/Rm$^3$.

4.2.7 Metals Emissions Limit Targets

**RECOMMENDATION R 207**

Each facility should develop facility emission reduction targets for and timetables to achieve reductions in releases of arsenic, cadmium, lead, nickel, mercury, and other metals of concern, taking into account facility emission reduction targets for sulphur dioxide and particulate matter, pollution prevention and control options, and performances for various feeds, smelting processes, and emission control systems.

4.2.8 Air Releases Reporting

**RECOMMENDATION R 208**

Each facility should measure or estimate and report releases, consistent with the Guidance Document for Reporting Releases from the Base Metals Smelting Sector and in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory.

4.2.9 Ambient Air Quality Objectives

**RECOMMENDATION R 209**

In addition to the source performance recommendations of R 203 and R 204, each facility should design and operate air emission prevention and control systems, taking into account local conditions and the following ambient air quality objectives, standards, criteria and guidelines:

National ambient air quality objectives are benchmarks to assess the impact of human activities on air quality and ensure that emission control policies are successfully protecting human health and the environment.

---


50 Environment Canada, National Pollutant Release Inventory (www.ec.gc.ca/pdb/npri/npri_home_e.cfm).
Another management tool for establishing and estimating the magnitude of risk is the application of effects-based critical loads. A critical load may be defined as the amount of deposition required for contaminant levels to reach threshold effect values in receiving media when a “steady state” has been achieved.51

The critical load concept is used in developing emission control policies, as illustrated by the following applications:

- (i) The Government of Canada has used it to evaluate the effectiveness of its acid rain strategy.
- (ii) Environment Canada used it to evaluate the impacts of metal emissions from copper and zinc smelters.
- (iii) The European Union is using the concept to develop strategies for the control of acidification and ozone. European policy-makers have proposed that critical loads for acid deposition should not be exceeded anywhere in Europe by the year 2015.52
- (iv) The United Nations Economic Commission for Europe applied an effects-based approach such as the critical load concept in preparation for the 1994 Oslo Protocol on Further Reductions of Sulphur Emissions.53


### TABLE 2: AMBIENT AIR QUALITY OBJECTIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Averaging time</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>8 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$) ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</td>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tolerable</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO Guideline (SO$_2$) ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total suspended particulate matter (TSP) ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</td>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tolerable</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulate matter (PM$_{10}$) ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</td>
<td>Reference level$^*$</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (O$_3$) (ppb)</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Arsenic</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cadmium</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Lead</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Nickel</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
3. Ontario Ministry of the Environment’s Ambient Air Quality Criteria for Arsenic and Its Compounds.
4. Ontario Regulation 337.
5. Ontario Regulation 346 Point of Impingement (POI) 30-minute average.

$^*$ Reference level is the level above which there are demonstrated effects on human health and/or the environment.
Environment Canada has developed generic aquatic and terrestrial critical loads for six metals based on reasonable worst-case conditions of the Canadian Shield (i.e., soft, circumneutral to acidic lake waters and acidic, sandy soils). These critical loads are applicable to facilities located on the Shield or having similar conditions in their vicinity. In particular, sandy soils are ubiquitous throughout most of Canada. The probabilistic approach utilized yielded 25th- and 10th-percentile critical loads, representing levels protective of the endpoint organisms under 75% and 90% of receiving environment conditions, respectively.

Estimated critical loads can be compared with actual deposition rates monitored near a releasing facility and thereby act as one of the environmental quality objective indicators for evaluating the effectiveness of utilized pollution controls by the facility. It can take decades (or more) for contaminant concentrations in receiving media to reach steady state. Critical loads have the advantage of providing an early indication of whether the current monitored deposition rate could cause contaminant levels to exceed threshold effect values once steady state is established.

Detailed descriptions of methodology for modelling and critical load calculations can be found in the Bibliography section.

### 4.2.10 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring

**RECOMMENDATION R 210**

Each facility should develop and implement an ambient air quality monitoring program in consultation with the regulatory bodies having authority over the facility. This program should include the sampling and analysis of metals, particulate matter (total, PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$), sulphur dioxide, and other pollutants of concern, taking into account:

1. the location of release sources under the control of the facility operator; and
2. local meteorological conditions and probable maximum pollutant deposition areas.

### 4.3 WATER AND WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 4.3.1 Water Use/Reuse

**RECOMMENDATION R 301**

Water use should be minimized, to the maximum extent practicable, possibly through the recycling or reuse of water and the cascading of cooling water and wastewater between production processes using lower-quality water.

#### 4.3.2 Wastewater Collection

**RECOMMENDATION R 302**

All wastewater streams that may exceed the effluent criteria of R 304 should be directed to a treatment facility prior to discharge to the environment.

To the extent practicable, system designs should provide for the segregation and collection of similar wastewaters (e.g., oily, acid, cleaning, and sanitary wastes).

#### 4.3.3 Wastewater Containment Sizing

**RECOMMENDATION R 303**

Wastewater collection and containment systems should be designed to contain the maximum volume of liquid that could reasonably be expected to be in storage for the following conditions:

1. the maximum volume of wastewater that would be accumulated during the time required to shut down wastewater generating processes, plus 50%;
2. 110% of the volume that could enter the containment system in the event of a leak, spill, or other like incident; or
3. the accumulated precipitation from a 24-hour, 50-year storm (return period) for outdoor containments.

---

4.3.4 Water Effluent Guidelines

**RECOMMENDATION R 304**

Wastewater treatment facilities should be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to achieve the following effluent quality prior to release:

**On a continuous basis:**
- pH: 6.0–9.5

**Maximum monthly mean concentration:**
- Total suspended solids: 15.0 mg/L
- Arsenic: 0.5 mg/L
- Copper: 0.3 mg/L
- Cyanide*: 1.0 mg/L
- Lead: 0.2 mg/L
- Nickel: 0.5 mg/L
- Zinc: 0.5 mg/L

* If cyanide is used in the process.

**Non-acutely lethal effluent:**
No more than 50% mortality of Daphnia magna and rainbow trout test species in 100% effluent when tested in accordance with Environment Canada Reference Methods 1/RM/13 and 1/RM/14.

4.3.5 Water Effluent Reporting

**RECOMMENDATION R 305**

Effluents should be monitored, tested, estimated and reported, consistent with Environment Canada’s Guidance Document for the Sampling and Analysis of Metal Mining Effluents and Guidance Document for Flow Measurement of Metal Mining Effluents and in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory.

4.3.6 Ambient Water Quality Guidelines

**RECOMMENDATION R 306**

In addition to the source performance recommendations of R 304 and R 305, each facility should design and operate effluent discharge systems, taking into account local conditions and the following ambient water quality objectives:

**TABLE 3: AMBIENT WATER QUALITY GUIDELINES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Guideline (µg/L)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>5–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (total)**</td>
<td>See table 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (un-ionized)***</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (total)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium - Trivalent chromium (Cr(III))</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium - Hexavalent chromium (Cr(VI))</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (total)</td>
<td>2–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide (free)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (total)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (total)</td>
<td>1–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury - Inorganic mercury</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury - Methylmercury</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel (total)</td>
<td>25–150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5–9.0****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium (total)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (total)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thallium</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
* Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Canadian Environmental Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Freshwater Aquatic Life (Summary Table update December 2003).
** Ammonia (total) is used to describe the sum of ammonia (NH₃) and ammonium (NH₄⁺).
*** Ionized ammonia refers to the ammonium ion (NH₄⁺).
**** No units for pH.
Critical loads can be used to indicate whether deposition of sulphates, metals, and metal compounds to surface waters is above or below a level that would lead to environmental impacts.

Critical loads may be defined as the amount of deposition required for contaminant levels to reach threshold effect values in receiving media. For surface waters, the critical load is the rate of deposition to the water body or to areas draining to it that would lead (accounting for the fraction retained in the soil) to minimal effects on sensitive aquatic organisms.60

The estimated critical loads can be compared with actual deposition rates near a releasing facility and thereby act as one of the environmental quality objective indicators for evaluating the effectiveness of utilized pollution controls by the facility (see also Section 4.2.9 for more details on critical loads).

The total ammonia guideline is not a specific value, but rather a range of values over various pHs and temperatures. That is because ammonia toxicity is affected by various factors, among which are pH, the most important, and temperature.

Table 4 provides total ammonia guidelines over a range of pHs (6.0–9.5) and temperatures (0–30°C) based upon the un-ionized ammonia guideline of 0.019 mg/L and the following two equations:61

**Equation 1:**

\[ pK_a = 0.901821.0 + 92.2729 / T \]

where:

\[ T = \text{temperature in K} (= T \text{ in } ^\circ\text{C} + 273.15) \]

**Equation 2:**

\[ f = 1 / [10^{(pK_a - pH)} + 1] \]

where:

\[ f = \text{fraction of total ammonia that is un-ionized} \]

\[ pK_a = \text{dissociation constant from equation 1} \]

---

### TABLE 4: WATER QUALITY GUIDELINES FOR TOTAL AMMONIA FOR THE PROTECTION OF AQUATIC LIFE62

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>6.0</th>
<th>6.5</th>
<th>7.0</th>
<th>7.5</th>
<th>8.0</th>
<th>8.5</th>
<th>9.0</th>
<th>9.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.354</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- The guideline values and all reported total ammonia concentrations in the table above are reported in mg NH₃/L; measurements of total ammonia in the aquatic environment are often also expressed as mg total ammonia-N/L.
- The present guideline values (mg NH₃/L) can be converted to mg total ammonia-N/L by multiplying the corresponding guideline value by 0.8.
- Values falling outside of the shaded area should be used with caution.
- There is no recommended guideline for marine waters.

---

60 Ibid. p. 28 (www.ec.gc.ca/pdb/npri/npri_home_e.cfm).
62 Ibid.
4.3.7 Aquatic Environmental Effects Monitoring

**RECOMMENDATION R 307**
Each facility that discharges to a receiving water should develop and implement an aquatic environmental effects monitoring program, consistent with Environment Canada’s *Metal Mining Guidance Document for Aquatic Environmental Effects Monitoring.*

4.4 WASTE MANAGEMENT

4.4.1 Reduction, Reuse, and Recycling

**RECOMMENDATION R 401**
Each facility should develop, implement, and maintain a waste reduction, reuse, and recycling program. The program should be one that:

(i) identifies opportunities for in-plant reduction, reuse, and recycling of wastes;
(ii) develops and implements plans for the evaluation and implementation of reduction, reuse, and recycling opportunities;
(iii) identifies and evaluates market opportunities for wastes with a view to maximizing waste material reduction, reuse, and recycling (this includes the sale of by-products that would otherwise be considered wastes); and
(iv) develops and implements a research and development program for reducing, reusing, and recycling residual wastes.


4.4.2 Location and Construction of Waste Disposal Sites

**RECOMMENDATION R 402**
Expansions to existing waste disposal sites and the design and construction of new sites should be undertaken so as to ensure that:

(i) the site plan is updated to clearly show the location and dimensions of the new or expanded waste disposal site;
(ii) the perimeter of the disposal area is far enough away from all watercourses to prevent contamination by runoff, seepage, or fugitive emissions;
(iii) the surface drainage from off-site areas is diverted around the disposal area;
(iv) the expanded area is hidden from view by fences, berms, or buffer zones; and
(v) future beneficial uses of the waste disposal site after its closure have been considered.

4.4.3 Development of Solid Waste Disposal Sites

**RECOMMENDATION R 403**
Solid waste disposal sites should be developed in accordance with the following practices:

(i) the disposal area should be developed in modules or cells;
(ii) all wastes should be placed such that they have physical and chemical stability suitable for land reuse, if the disposal area is reclaimed;
(iii) contouring, capping, and reclamation of cells should be undertaken throughout the operating life of the site; and
(iv) all disposal sites should be reclaimed for beneficial uses before final closure or restricted from public access if they cannot be reclaimed.
4.4.4 Management of Waste Disposal Sites

**RECOMMENDATION R 404**

All waste disposal sites should be managed in accordance with documented, site-specific waste management plans approved by the appropriate regulatory bodies having authority over the facility so that:

(i) solid, liquid, and hazardous wastes are transferred only to facilities specifically designed, approved, and operated for that purpose;

(ii) access to the site is controlled and disposal activities are supervised by trained personnel; and

(iii) records are maintained of the types, approximate quantities, and point of origin of the wastes.

4.4.5 Monitoring of Waste Disposal Sites

**RECOMMENDATION R 405**

A groundwater monitoring program should be developed for all waste disposal sites in accordance with the following guidelines:

(i) a permanent system of appropriately located piezometers and wells should be provided;

(ii) a program of pre-operational monitoring of groundwater regimes should be initiated;

(iii) groundwater samples should be collected at least quarterly; and

(iv) each groundwater sample should be analyzed for pH, total dissolved solids, and other appropriate site-specific parameters.

4.4.6 Waste Reporting

**RECOMMENDATION R 406**

Wastes disposed of and transferred should be reported, in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory.64

4.4.7 Waste Management

**RECOMMENDATION R 407**

Waste should be managed consistent with the *Guidance Document for Management of Wastes from the Base Metals Smelting Sector*.65

---

64 Environment Canada, National Pollutant Release Inventory (www.ec.gc.ca/pdbs/hrnpri/npri_home_e.cfm).
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BIBLIOGRAPHY


Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Canada-wide Standards for Particulate Matter (PM) and Ozone, June 5–6, 2000 (www.ccme.ca/initiatives/standards.html?category_id=5/).


Fisheries and Environment Canada, Criteria for National Air Quality Objectives: Sulphur Dioxide, Suspended Particulates, Carbon Monoxide, Oxidants (Ozone) and Nitrogen Dioxide, reports to the Federal-Provincial Committee on Air Pollution, November 1976.


SNC Group, Review of Copper and Copper–Nickel Smelting Processes for Environment Canada, SNC Contract 4645, October 1981.


PART 1: BASE METAL SMELTING

Rationale for standard:
The base metal smelting sector has historically been responsible for much of the mercury emitted in Canada. However, the voluntary application of a number of process changes and stack treatments/scrubbers have combined to reduce mercury emissions from this sector by more than 90% since 1988. Due to reductions from this sector, Canada has complied with its obligations under the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe Heavy Metals Protocol. Despite this substantial progress, additional reductions are possible. As of 2000, the mercury emissions from base metal smelting remain the single largest emission sector in Canada at 2.8 T/yr. Under the federal Strategic Options Process (SOP), industry and government recommended development by CCME of “environmental source performance guidelines” that reflect the application of best available techniques. By following this approach, Canada's domestic program will be consistent with international objectives for this industry.

Nature and application:
Based upon the performance of various technologies and practices as demonstrated at existing facilities in Canada, and in consideration of the recommendations made in the federal SOP for this sector, a two-part standard is recommended. This standard reflects the application of “best available techniques” on a facility-specific basis, and a uniform reporting mechanism based upon environmental source performance (atmospheric emission) guidelines.

Standards are suggested for both existing facilities, to reflect actions taken to reduce emissions of mercury, and for new facilities, to ensure that smelters utilize the best available techniques to avoid or reduce metals emissions generally and mercury emissions specifically.

Numeric targets:
For existing facilities: application by all primary zinc, lead, and copper smelters of best available pollution prevention and control techniques economically achievable to achieve an environmental source performance (atmospheric emission) guideline of 2 g Hg/tonne total production of finished metals.

For new and expanding facilities: application of best available pollution prevention and control techniques to minimize mercury emissions throughout the life-cycle of the minerals in question to achieve an environmental source performance (atmospheric emission) guideline of 0.2 g Hg/tonne production of finished zinc, nickel and lead, and 1 g Hg/tonne of finished copper, and consideration of a mercury offset program to ensure no “net” emission increases occur.

Timeframe for achieving the targets:
Existing facilities will be expected to make a determined effort to meet this standard by 2008, coincident with implementation of the federal Strategic Options Report, while any new facility will be required to design for and achieve compliance immediately upon full scale operation. Jurisdictions will evaluate changes and upgrades to existing facilities to ensure they constitute determined efforts.

---

67 A new facility will recover and retire an amount of mercury equivalent to their annual emissions.
68 Determined efforts include the ongoing review of opportunities for reductions and implementation of in-plant changes and/or emissions control upgrades that are technically and economically feasible and which confer on-going reductions in emissions.
ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE DATA SHEET (EPDS)
BASE METALS SMELTING SECTOR (BMSS)

**FACILITY INFORMATION**

NPRI Identification No.: ____________

**Manufacturer**

Company: 
Address: 
Website: 
Contact person: 
Tel: 
Fax: 
E-mail: 


ENVIRO"NMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

Note: Information on references and sources cited in this table can be found in the main body of the document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 101 Environmental Policy Statement Each company should develop and implement an environmental policy statement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Include date approved by senior management. Is policy publicly available? If so, how can it be accessed!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 102 Environmental Management Systems Each facility should develop, implement, and maintain an environmental management system in accordance with ISO 14001 or an equivalent standard or system.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Has the facility implemented or is it planning to implement an environmental management system in accordance with ISO 14001 or an equivalent standard or system? Is the facility planning on receiving ISO 14001 registrations? If yes, indicate anticipated year of registration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 103 Environmental Management Plans Each facility should develop and implement a site-specific environmental management plan, consistent with the Environmental Management Plan Guidance Document for the Base Metals Smelting Sector. The plan should include, as a minimum, the following (see list of the elements in Table S.1, Section S.5, R 103, or Section 4.1.3 of the Code).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicate what percentage of the 13 elements listed in the Code are in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 104 Environmental Assessment Environmental assessment principles should be followed by companies for new and significantly modified or expanded facilities, consistent with the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency Reference Guide, Table 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Has the process been applied to the planning phase of new or modified facilities? If so, for which projects?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS — POLICY PLANS, PARTICIPATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 105 Pollution Prevention Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Has the facility developed a pollution prevention (P2) plan?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What is the timeframe for implementation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is the P2 plan consistent with the specified guideline?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 106 Emergency Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Were community and interest groups and local and provincial/territorial emergency authorities included in the development and preparation of the plan?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Has the plan been shared with these persons?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does it address the elements elaborated in the guideline?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is it publicly available? If so, how can it be accessed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 107 Decommissioning Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Has decommissioning planning begun for this facility?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>When will the plan be completed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is it in accordance with Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment guidelines?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>What provisions have been made for funding the decommissioning phase?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you respond “Under Development,” please indicate what aspects have been completed and what aspects are still to be implemented or completed. If you respond “Not Yet Developed,” please indicate when you expect to develop this element; if your company has no plans to develop a particular element, please explain why not.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 108 Environmental Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Has the facility conducted environmental training needs analysis and the identified training? Do the procedures address the need to train new employees? How frequently is the program reviewed? Is there a program in place to ensure that contractors demonstrate that their employees have the required environmental training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should establish and maintain procedures to identify its environmental training needs and ensure that all personnel who work in areas that may create a significant adverse impact upon the environment have received training.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 109 Environmental Facility Inspection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the plan include inspection procedures for each operation, a schedule, and procedures for reporting and follow-up?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should develop and implement an environmental inspection plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 110 Environmental Auditing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>How frequently are audits conducted? Is company staff or external personnel used? Date of last audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should conduct periodic environmental audits by internal, corporate, or third-party auditors.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 111 Environmental Performance Indicators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide a copy of your set of environmental performance indicators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should develop a set of environmental performance indicators that can provide an overall measure of the facility’s environmental performance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 112 Product Stewardship</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the product stewardship program include consideration of: - types of materials used; - sources of supply of materials; - sources of energy used; - type and amount of packaging; and - management of manufacturing by-products and wastes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each company should develop and implement a product stewardship program aimed at minimizing the environmental impacts associated with the products used and produced by the facility and under the control of the company.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</td>
<td>Developed &amp; Implemented</td>
<td>Under Development</td>
<td>Not Yet Developed</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 113 Public Reporting</strong>&lt;br&gt;Documented procedures for the monitoring and reporting of environmental performance to the public should be developed and implemented by the facility, consistent with the Guidance Document for Reporting Releases from the Base Metals Smelting Sector and taking into account the Global Reporting Initiative’s Sustainability Reporting Guidelines for the mining and metals sector.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are facility-specific environmental performance data made publicly available? If so, how can the data be accessed? Does your facility use the Sustainability Reporting Guidelines developed by the Global Reporting Initiative in preparing its reports? How are data made available (e.g., reports, Internet, reporting programs)? Year public reporting began.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 114 Community Advisory Panel</strong>&lt;br&gt;Each facility should establish a Community Advisory Panel with representatives from the surrounding community, in order to provide a forum for the review and discussion of facility operations and associated environmental and other concerns.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Name of advisory panel, date established, frequency of meetings, date of last meeting. Are minutes publicly available? If so, how can they be accessed?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ATMOSPHERIC RELEASES MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 201 Prevention and Control of Fugitive Air Emissions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Identify key sources of fugitive emissions at your facility. Describe how fugitive emissions are prevented and/or controlled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should identify potential sources of fugitive emissions and should prevent or control those emissions through the use of appropriate mitigative measures. These sources may include unpaved roads, storage piles, material conveyance systems, waste disposal piles, and leaks from processes and buildings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 202 Collection and Control of Process Air Emissions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are all process releases collected and treated? Describe which process emission streams are not collected and treated. Are Standard Operating Procedures in place for the key equipment and/or activities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should ensure that air pollution control equipment is adequately sized, designed, constructed, and maintained to contain and control pollutant releases to ambient air from all plant processes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 203 Total Particulate Matter Emissions Guidelines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicate the number of stacks where the guideline is achieved. Indicate the number of stacks where the guideline is not achieved. Provide additional information, such as total loading or flow rate for stacks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should be designed and operated to achieve the following recommended release concentrations for total particulate matter after the emission control device of less than 50 mg/Nm³.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</td>
<td>Developed &amp; Implemented</td>
<td>Under Development</td>
<td>Not Yet Developed</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 204 Sulphur Fixation Guidelines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indicate the current sulphur fixation percentage. Please provide details of the calculation, including the ratio of sulphur in the feed to the product.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Each facility should consider use of low sulphur feed and recycled materials to reduce emissions of sulphur dioxide.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indicate any projects planned to increase the sulphur fixation percentage, including projected start-up date.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 90% by a committed timetable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Each new copper, lead and zinc smelter should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 99%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Each new nickel smelter should be designed and operated to achieve a minimum sulphur fixation rate of 96%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 205 Mercury Emissions Guidelines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Indicate the release of mercury per tonne production of finished zinc, nickel, lead, or copper.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to limit air release loadings to less than 2 grams of mercury per tonne of finished product.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>What year are the above data for?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Each new or expanded facility should be designed and operated to limit air release loadings in accordance with the following: (a) less than 0.2 grams of mercury per tonne production of finished zinc, nickel, and lead; and (b) less than 1 gram of mercury per tonne production of finished copper.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Recommendation Number and Subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Recommendation</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### R 206 Dioxins and Furans Emissions Guidelines

1. Each existing facility should be designed and operated to limit release concentrations of dioxins and furans to less than 100 pg ITEQ/Rm³
2. Each new facility should be designed and operated to limit release concentrations of dioxins and furans to less than 32 pg ITEQ/Rm³

Indicate release of dioxins/furans per tonne production of finished zinc, nickel, lead, or copper.

What year are the above data for?

#### R 207 Metals Emissions Limit Targets

Each facility should develop facility emission reduction targets for and timetables to achieve reductions in releases of arsenic, cadmium, lead, nickel, mercury, and other metals of concern, taking into account facility emission reduction targets for sulphur dioxide and particulate matter, pollution prevention and control options, and performances for various feeds, smelting processes, and emission control systems.

Does your facility have emission reduction targets and a schedule for reducing releases of arsenic, cadmium, lead, nickel, and mercury?

Has your facility achieved, or is it on course to achieve, the reduction targets?

#### R 208 Air Releases Reporting

Each facility should measure or estimate and report releases, consistent with the Guidance Document for Reporting Releases from the Base Metals Smelting Sector and in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory.

How frequently are stacks tested? What parameters are tested for?

Does your facility have any continuous emission monitors? Describe their location and parameters monitored.

What methodology is used to calculate emissions (e.g., stack test, mass balance)?

Indicate if these reports are sent to Head Office, the National Pollutant Release Inventory, provincial/territorial regulatory agency, the public, other?

With what frequency is information reported to each of the above — annually, quarterly, other?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 209 Ambient Air Quality Objectives</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are ambient air quality objectives being met at air monitoring stations affected by the facility? Indicate the number and type of exceedances. Indicate the percentage of time air quality meets objectives at each station.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In addition to the source performance recommendations of R 203 and R 204, each facility should design and operate air emission prevention and control systems, taking into account local conditions and the following ambient air quality objectives, standards, criteria and guidelines (substances and objectives as shown in detail in Table S.2, Section S.5, and Section 4.2.9 of the Code of Practice).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 210 Ambient Air Quality Monitoring</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Which of the following parameters does the program include: metals, particulate (total, PM$<em>{10}$, PM$</em>{2.5}$), sulphur dioxide, and other pollutants of concern, taking into account: (i) the location of release sources under the control of the facility operator; and (ii) local meteorological conditions and probable maximum pollutant deposition areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each facility should develop and implement an ambient air quality monitoring program in consultation with the regulatory bodies having authority over the facility. This program should include the sampling and analysis of metals, particulate matter (total, PM$<em>{10}$ and PM$</em>{2.5}$), sulphur dioxide, and other pollutants of concern, taking into account: (i) the location of release sources under the control of the facility operator; and (ii) local meteorological conditions and probable maximum pollutant deposition areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the program take into account the location of emissions sources and local meteorological conditions and probable maximum deposition area?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WATER AND WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 301 Water Use/Reuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are flow measurements carried out in accordance with documented, performance-based standards approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities? Is any reduction achieved, and if so, how?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water use should be minimized, to the maximum extent practicable, possibly through the recycling or reuse of water and the cascading of cooling water and wastewater between production processes using lower-quality water.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 302 Wastewater Collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are all wastewater streams that may exceed the effluent criteria of R 304 should be directed to a treatment facility prior to discharge?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All wastewater streams that may exceed the effluent criteria of R 304 should be directed to a treatment facility prior to discharge.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 303 Wastewater Containment Sizing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are design parameters for the maximum volume of liquid storage as described in the recommendation being met? If not, please explain why not and provide details on storage capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater collection and containment systems should be designed to contain the maximum volume of liquid that could reasonably be expected to be in storage for the following conditions: (i) the maximum volume of wastewater that would be accumulated during the time required to shut down wastewater generation processes, plus 50%; (ii) 110% of the volume that could enter the containment system in the event of a leak, spill, or other like incident; or (iii) the accumulated precipitation from a 24-hour, 50-year storm (return period) for outdoor containments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number and Subject</td>
<td>Developed &amp; Implemented</td>
<td>Under Development</td>
<td>Not Yet Developed</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 304 Water Effluent Guidelines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Do all final effluent streams meet these criteria? If not, indicate which criteria are not being met and the frequency of non-conformance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater treatment facilities should be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to achieve the following effluent quality prior to release:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On a continuous basis:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.0–9.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum monthly mean concentration:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total suspended solids</td>
<td>15.0 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>0.5 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.3 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide*</td>
<td>1.0 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.2 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td>0.5 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>0.5 mg/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* If cyanide is used in the process.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-acutely lethal effluent:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No more than 50% mortality of <em>Daphnia magna</em> and rainbow trout test species in 100% effluent when tested in accordance with Environment Canada Reference Methods 1/RM/13 and 1/RM/14.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **R 305 Water Effluent Reporting** |                         |                   |                   |          | Are sampling and analysis methods and quality control procedures consistent with the guidance documents? Are selection, design, and installation of flow measurement systems consistent with the guidance documents? Indicate if these reports are sent to Head Office, the National Pollutant Release Inventory, provincial/territorial regulatory agency, the public, other? With what frequency is information reported to each of the above — annually, quarterly, other? |
| Effluents should be monitored, tested, estimated and reported, consistent with Environment Canada’s *Guidance Document for the Sampling and Analysis of Metal Mining Effluents* and *Guidance Document for Flow Measurement of Metal Mining Effluents* and in accordance with the notice requiring submission of data for the National Pollutant Release Inventory. |                         |                   |                   |          |                                           |

---

If you respond “Under Development,” please indicate what aspects have been completed and what aspects are still to be implemented or completed. If you respond “Not Yet Developed,” please indicate when you expect to develop this element; if your company has no plans to develop a particular element, please explain why not.
### Recommendation Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **R 306 Ambient Water Quality Guidelines**  
In addition to the source performance recommendations of R 304 and R 305, each facility should design and operate effluent discharge systems, taking into account local conditions and the ambient water quality objectives as shown in Table S.3, Section S.5, and Section 4.3.6 of the Code of Practice. | | | | | Are ambient water quality guidelines being met?  
If not, indicate the number and type of exceedances. |
| **R 307 Aquatic Environmental Effects Monitoring**  
Each facility that discharges to a receiving water should develop and implement an aquatic environmental effects monitoring program, consistent with Environment Canada’s Metal Mining Guidance Document for Aquatic Environmental Effects Monitoring. | | | | | Is an aquatic environmental effects monitoring program being conducted?  
If not, when do you plan to initiate one? |
WASTE MANAGEMENT

For the purposes of this section, waste refers to both non-hazardous and hazardous wastes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R 401 Reduction, Reuse, and Recycling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the program:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- identify opportunities for in-plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reduction, reuse, and recycling of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>wastes;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- evaluate and implement these</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>opportunities;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- identify and evaluate market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>opportunities for wastes to maximize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>waste material reduction, reuse, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>recycling; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- include a research and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>program for reducing, reusing, and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>recycling residual wastes?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R 402 Location and Construction of Waste Disposal Sites

Expansions to existing waste disposal sites and the design and construction of new sites should be undertaken so as to ensure that:

(i) the site plan is updated to clearly show the location and dimensions of the new or expanded waste disposal site;

(ii) the perimeter of the disposal area is far enough away from all watercourses to prevent contamination by runoff, seepage, or fugitive emissions;

(iii) the surface drainage from off-site areas is diverted around the disposal area;

(iv) the expanded area is hidden from view by fences, berms, or buffer zones; and

(v) future beneficial uses of the waste disposal site after its closure have been considered.

Indicate whether any new or expansions to the waste disposal sites have been undertaken since 2001.

Indicate whether location and construction meet the criteria in the recommendation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</th>
<th>Developed &amp; Implemented</th>
<th>Under Development</th>
<th>Not Yet Developed</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 403 Development of Solid Waste Disposal Sites</strong> Solid waste disposal sites should be developed in accordance with the following practices: (i) the disposal area should be developed in modules or cells; (ii) all wastes should be placed such that they have physical and chemical stability suitable for land reuse, if the disposal area is reclaimed; (iii) contouring, capping, and reclamation of cells should be undertaken throughout the operating life of the site; and (iv) all disposal sites should be reclaimed for beneficial uses before final closure or restricted from public access if they cannot be reclaimed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the waste disposal site meet all the practices in the recommendation? If the waste disposal site does not meet one or more of the practices, please explain the reason for deviation and how the facility will be addressing this Code recommendation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R 404 Management of Waste Disposal Sites</strong> All waste disposal sites should be managed in accordance with documented, site-specific waste management plans approved by the appropriate regulatory bodies having authority over the facility so that: (i) solid, liquid, and hazardous wastes are transferred only to facilities specifically designed, approved, and operated for that purpose; (ii) access to the site is controlled and disposal activities are supervised by trained personnel; and (iii) records are maintained of the types, approximate quantities, and point of origin of the wastes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the waste disposal site meet all criteria of the recommendation? If not, please indicate which criteria are not met and the reasons. Have management plans been approved by the appropriate regulatory authority?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendation Number and Subject Summary of Recommendation</td>
<td>Developed &amp; Implemented</td>
<td>Under Development</td>
<td>Not Yet Developed</td>
<td>Comments</td>
<td>Notes on what to include under “Comments”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 405 Monitoring of Waste Disposal Sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is there a groundwater monitoring program in place?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does the groundwater monitoring program follow all criteria of the recommendation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Provide information on the frequency of monitoring for each parameter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Have monitoring results indicated any potential problems?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 406 Waste Reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Does your facility report on wastes disposed of and transferred?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicate if these reports are sent to Head Office, the National Pollutant Release Inventory, provincial/territorial regulatory agency, the public, other?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>With what frequency is information reported to each of the above — annually, quarterly, other?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As a referenced guidance document has not been developed, please indicate if wastes disposed of and transferred are reported consistent with the Guide for Reporting to the National Pollutant Release Inventory 2001.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 407 Waste Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are efforts made to recycle residues?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Are reuse options identified?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is disposal considered only when there are no options for recycling or reuse?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## LIST OF CHEMICAL NAME SYMBOLS/ACRONYMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Chemical Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>Arsenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>Cadmium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>Chromium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Copper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>Mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Nickel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Methane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Nitrous Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFCs</td>
<td>Hydrofluorocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFCs</td>
<td>Perfluorocarbons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF₆</td>
<td>Sulphur hexafluoride</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### GLOSSARY OF TERMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acid plant</td>
<td>A process that converts sulphur dioxide into sulphuric acid. At a base metals smelter, sulphur dioxide is produced by oxidation of sulphide mineral concentrates and other minerals contained in smelter feed materials. The acid plant converter oxidizes sulphur dioxide to sulphur trioxide in the presence of a catalyst. Single or double absorption stages may be used to absorb sulphur trioxide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baghouse</td>
<td>An air pollution control device used to trap particulates by filtering gas streams through large fabric bags, usually made of glass fibres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base metal</td>
<td>Any of the following metals: cobalt, copper, lead, nickel, zinc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bath smelting</td>
<td>A smelting process where the concentrate feed is charged into and reacted in a bath of molten matte.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converting</td>
<td>Process of removing impurities or metallic compounds from molten metal by blowing air through the liquid matte. The impurities or metallic compounds are changed either to gaseous compounds, which are removed by volatilization, or to liquids, which are removed as slags.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical load</td>
<td>A quantitative estimate of an exposure to one or more pollutants, below which significant harmful effects on specified sensitive elements of the environment do not occur, according to present knowledge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decommissioning</td>
<td>A closure of an industrial facility followed by the removal of process equipment, buildings, and structures (on a site-specific basis). Decommissioning may include all or part of a facility and “mothballing.” Cleanup may be required to remove chemical substances or hazardous materials from the environment or to render the industrial site safe and aesthetically acceptable. Decommissioning may result in a change of land use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry scrubber</td>
<td>Any device that separates gas-borne particles from the gas stream by such methods as gravitational deposition, flow-line interception, diffusional deposition, and electrostatic deposition. Most forms of dust collection systems use more than one of these collection mechanisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effluent</td>
<td>A release of an aqueous flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric furnace</td>
<td>A furnace using electricity to supply heat/thermal energy. The chief types of such furnaces are direct arc, in which the electric current passes through the charge; indirect arc, in which the arc is struck between the electrodes only; and induction furnace, in which the metal charge is heated by magnetic susceptibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrostatic precipitator</td>
<td>An air pollution control device that removes particulate matter by imparting an electrical charge to particles in a gas stream for mechanical collection on an electrode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrowinning</td>
<td>Production of high-purity metal from a metal-bearing solution. The process takes place in cells containing a number of closely spaced rectangular metal plates acting as anodes and as cathodes. A series of reactions occurs in the electrolysis cells that result in the deposition of the desired metal at the cathode and the regeneration of sulphuric acid in the electrolyte at the anode. This differs from electrorefining, in that the source metal is already in solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission</td>
<td>A release of pollutants into the air.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission factor</td>
<td>The average amount of a pollutant emitted from each type of polluting source in relation to a specific amount of material processed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endpoint</td>
<td>Response of a natural resource or service to a contaminant (e.g., adverse reproductive effects on sensitive fish species in a community).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental effects monitoring (EEM)</td>
<td>A science-based tool that can detect and measure changes in atmospheric and aquatic ecosystems (receiving environments) potentially affected by human activity (i.e., air and effluent discharges). EEM is an iterative system of monitoring and interpretation phases that can be used to help assess the effectiveness of environmental management measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPI</td>
<td>Environmental performance indicator, expressed by a unit of emission per unit of produced material.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric filters</td>
<td>A device for removing dust and particulate matter from industrial emissions, much like a home vacuum cleaner bag. Fabric filters are generally located in a baghouse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>A stand-alone production unit or part of a continuous production complex where raw material or product is processed, upgraded, or stored before the point of transfer to another unit for further processing, upgrading, or transformation. A stand-alone production unit may be part of a larger production complex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash converting</td>
<td>Very fast smelting of copper can be accomplished in a converter by feeding the matte and supplying oxygen. The oxygen efficiency is high, no fuel is required, and the off-gas is very high in sulphur dioxide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash smelting</td>
<td>It combines the operations of roasting and smelting to produce a high-grade matte. Dried ore concentrates and finely ground fluxes are injected together with oxygen, preheated air, or a mixture of both into a furnace of special design, where the temperature is maintained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid bed roasting</td>
<td>Oxidation of the finely ground pyritic minerals by means of upward currents of air, blown through a reaction vessel with sufficient force to cause the bed materials to fluidize.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fugitive emissions</td>
<td>These emissions usually result from process leakage and spills of short duration that are associated with storage, material handling, charging, and other secondary process operations. Fugitive emissions are usually uncontrolled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Toxicity Equivalency Quotient</td>
<td>The method of relating the toxicity of various dioxin/furan congeners to the toxicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 14000</td>
<td>The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) is an international federation of over 100 national standards bodies that, since 1993, has been developing a series of integrated environmental management system standards, known as the ISO 14000 series.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matte</td>
<td>A molten solution of metal sulphides produced during smelting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matte separation</td>
<td>Separation of copper and nickel sulphides by crushing, grinding, magnetic separation, and flotation following the controlled cooling of the copper–nickel matte.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitsubishi continuous smelting</td>
<td>Injects dried concentrate through a lance into the smelting furnace. Oxygen-rich air conveys the concentrate and oxidizes the bath.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New facility</td>
<td>A facility that started operation in Canada after the publication of first edition of the Environmental Code of Practice for Base Metals Smelters and Refineries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nm$^3$</td>
<td>Refers to the volume of gas at normal conditions of 1 atm and 0°C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noranda process reactor and converters</td>
<td>Produces copper matte by feeding fuel, flux, and coal while oxygen-enriched air is blown into the liquid matte. A long settling zone in the reactor allows for separation of slag and matte. The matte proceeds on to a converter while the slag is cooled; a sulphide-rich fraction is concentrated and sent to the reactor for recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$</td>
<td>Refers collectively to nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$), expressed as nitrogen dioxide equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRI Identification Number</td>
<td>The specific identification number assigned to facilities under the National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particulates</td>
<td>Any finely divided solid or liquid particles in the air or in an emission. Particulates include dust, smoke, fumes, and mist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce-Smith converters</td>
<td>The most common type of converters is refractory lined cylindrical steel shells mounted on trunions on either end and rotated about the major axis for charging and pouring. Air or oxygen-rich air is blown through the molten matte, where iron and sulphur are oxidized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezometer</td>
<td>A type of monitoring well used to measure the elevation of the water table, i.e., how far below the surface groundwater is located.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary smelting</td>
<td>A process where mine concentrate or calcite is smelted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure leaching</td>
<td>In chemical extraction of valuable ore constituents, use of an autoclave to accelerate attack by means of increased temperatures and pressures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product stewardship</td>
<td>Making health, safety, and environmental protection an integral part of a product’s life cycle, from design, manufacture, marketing, sale, and distribution to use, recycling, and disposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Release</td>
<td>Includes discharge, spray, inject, inoculate, abandon, deposit, spill, leak, seep, pour, emit, empty, throw, dump, place, and exhaust.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverberatory furnace</td>
<td>A type of furnace used in base metal smelters for melting the metal concentrates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rm$^3$</td>
<td>Reference conditions defined as volumes at 25°C (298.15°K) and 101.3 kPa, dry gas basis and operating oxygen levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roasting</td>
<td>The charge material of metal sulphides (ore concentrates) is heated in air, partially eliminating the sulphur as sulphur dioxide in order to facilitate smelting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roast leach</td>
<td>Roasting of sulphide concentrates followed by acid leaching and electrowinning for recovery of metals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubber</td>
<td>An air pollution control device that uses a liquid spray to remove pollutants from a gas stream by absorption or chemical reaction. Scrubbers also reduce the temperature of the gas stream.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary smelting</td>
<td>Reclaiming/recycling of a metal into a usable form.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slag</td>
<td>A molten layer formed on top of a bath of liquid metal or matte when iron and other impurities in the charge oxidize and mix with flux.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slag cleaning</td>
<td>Slag containing a significant amount of the desired metal is treated in a slag cleaning furnace to extract the desired metal and reduce the amount of magnetite. Usually slag from flash furnaces and converter furnaces requires cleaning. The slags are charged to a slag cleaning furnace (usually electric furnace), where the metals and metal sulphides are allowed to settle under reducing conditions with the addition of coke or iron sulphide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_2)</td>
<td>Sulphur dioxide, formed primarily by the combustion of sulphur-containing fuels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm water</td>
<td>Any water from a precipitation event that is not considered to have been contaminated as defined by the appropriate regulatory agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top blown rotary converter smelting</td>
<td>Converter that allows rapid and independent temperature and atmosphere control by the introduction of oxygen, an oxygen–fuel mixture, or other gases above the furnace bath, which is stirred by the rotation of the vessel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>The environmental protection agency in the United States that is the U.S. equivalent to Environment Canada.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOCs</td>
<td>Also known as reactive organic gases or non-methane volatile organic compounds. Volatile organic compounds refer only to photochemically reactive hydrocarbons and therefore exclude compounds such as methane, ethane, and several chlorinated organics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>Residues and by-products that are not recovered, reused, or recycled and are discarded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater</td>
<td>Any water that is known to contain a deleterious substance that originates in and is discharged from the plant. This includes the discharge of water used for direct cooling or cleaning, blow-down from water treatment systems, and water that has been contaminated by process leaks. This does not include water used for indirect cooling or storm water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet scrubbers</td>
<td>Removal of particles from the gas stream by capturing the particles in liquid (usually water) droplets and separating the droplets from the gas stream. The droplets act as conveyors of the particulate out of the gas stream.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc plant</td>
<td>A zinc smelter or refinery.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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